Social and Environmental Screening Template (2021 SESP Template, Version 1)

The completed template, which constitutes the Social and Environmental Screening Report, must be included as an annex to the Project Document at the design stage. Note: this template will be converted into an online tool. The online version will guide users through the process and will embed relevant guidance.

Project Information

Pro	oject Information	
1.	Project Title	Strengthening Disaster Risk Reduction and Recovery in Ukraine
2.	Project Number (i.e. Atlas project ID, PIMS+)	Atlas ID 129527
3.	Location (Global/Region/Country)	Ukraine
4.	Project stage (Design or Implementation)	Implementation
5.	Date	01 August 2020 – 30 November 2021

Part A. Integrating Programming Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Programming Principles in Order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the project mainstreams the human rights-based approach

The project targets especially those communities in the focal areas (the western Carpathian region) that are most vulnerable to natural hazards and the resultant disasters – among those are remote mountainous and rural areas featuring the comparatively low formal wages and high levels of labour migration. Also, the project included conducting the analysis of human rights aspects related to Ukraine's updating its Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) under the Paris Agreement. Findings of the analysis are communicated to both the Ministry of Environmental Protection and Natural Resources, as the focal government ministry in Paris Agreement implementation, and to the Ministry of Justice, which is the focal ministry for human rights.

Briefly describe in the space below how the project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

As the project GEN 2 Marker, it project operates the term of 'gender-responsive disaster risk reduction', recognizing this discipline should not be treated as gender blind. For that purpose, the project initiates conducting an assessment of the current levels of gender sensitivity and gender awareness within the country's DRR professional community, more specifically among the civil protection practitioners working within the State Emergency Service of Ukraine (SESU).

Previously, the project has produced an analysis of gender aspects within the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) update process under the Paris Agreement and identified a number of findings including those leading to a higher susceptibility to 'energy poverty' among women n Ukraine.

Briefly describe in the space below how the project mainstreams sustainability and resilience

Sustainability and resilience are at the forefront of the project. The project contributes directly into the attainment of two Sustainable Development Goals of 'Sustainable Cities and Communities' and 'Climate Action' while also supporting progress towards a number of other SDGs. The project concurrently aims at improving capacity, knowledge tools and financing mechanisms among target communities, including the most vulnerable, to build resilience, and to prevent and better recover from natural disasters based on the principle of leaving no one behind. Resilience mainstreaming within the project is inspired by UNDP's Resilient Recovery concept which is being promoted by the project advisors who underwent the tailored training held by UNDP's ECA Regional Hub.

Briefly describe in the space below how the project strengthens accountability to stakeholders

The project works on developing a regional strategy on flood risk management for the Carpathian Ivano-Frankivsk Oblast and will apply a multi-stakeholder approach to ensure strong local ownership, relevance, and sustainability of future interventions leaving no one behind.. This work, coordinated largely with the regional administration, involves stakeholders, specifically local communities, to integrate their needs and concerns to the degree possible into the strategy development process. This is being achieved through holding public consultations with the local communities as well as through potentially discussing the strategy with them additionally upon the incorporation of input from central government.

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental <u>Risks</u>

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? Note: Complete SESP Attachment 1 before responding to Question 2.	potential se	ocial and envin	e level of significance of the ronmental risks? ns 4 and 5below before proceeding	QUESTION 6: Describe the assessment and management measures for each risk rated Moderate, Substantial or High
Risk Description (broken down by event, cause, impact)	Impact and Likelihoo d (1-5)	Significan ce (Low, Moderate Substantia I, High)	Comments (optional)	Description of assessment and management measures for risks rated as Moderate, Substantial or High
Risk 1: Outputs and outcomes sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change or disasters produced.	l = 2 L = 3	Moderate	The project is per se designed as addressing potential impacts of climate change and disasters. Therefore, this is considered applicable to categorize outputs and outcomes the project seeks to produce as those respectively sensitive. The capacity, knowledge tools and financing mechanisms the project establishes would be the more frequently triggered the more frequent climate change- induced challenges become.	Overall, the project supports Ukraine in developing more comprehensive and community-focused climate change adaptation responses and solutions. The project draws on tailored technical expertise identifying those avenues for implementation that are less prone to the risk. E.g., a thorough assessment is conducted on whether the provision of equipment requested by the project interlocutor would be feasible compared to assistance with the 'soft infrastructure' elements.
Risk 2: More frequent disasters stemming from natural hazards, as floods or wildfire, impact the project progress, e.g. disrupting the agreed plans once an emergency occurs.	I = 3 L = 3	Moderate	Disasters caused by natural hazards may lead to significant setbacks in programme implementation and impose a need to quickly redirect programme and human resources to address the impact of these disasters. Staff safety and security are also at potential risk.	This risk is rather an extension of the one indicated above. Although led by the considerations of sustained and gradual process, the project, due to its specifics, may indeed need to reorient its priorities once the severity of certain disasters abruptly rises. This will be done is close consultation with the government continually assessing disaster risks, alongside the other types, following the

			adoption of a new national security 2020.	y strategy in
add additional rows as needed]	QUESTION 4: What is the overall project risk o	ategorizatio	n2	
	QUESTION 4. What is the overall project risk t	alegonzalio		
	Low R			
	Moderate R	-		
	Substantial R High R			
		ed? (check a	all that apply)	e SES are
	Question only required for Moderate, Substantial	and High Ris	k projects	Status?
	Is assessment required? (check if "yes")			(completed planned)
	if yes, indicate overall type and sta	tus	Targeted assessment(s)	
			ESIA (Environmental and Social	
			Impact Assessment) SESA (Strategic Environmental	
			and Social Assessment)	
	Are management plans required? (check if "ye			
	If yes, indicate overall ty	/pe	 Targeted management plans (e.g. Gender Action Plan, Emergency Response Plan, Waste Management Plan, others) 	
			ESMP (Environmental and Social Management Plan which may	
			include range of targeted plans)	
			ESMF (Environmental and Social Management Framework)	
	Based on identified <u>risks</u> , which Principles/Project-level Standards triggered?		Comments (not require	ed)
	Overarching Principle: Leave No One Behind			
	Human Rights			
	Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment			
	Accountability			
	1. Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management			
	2. Climate Change and Disaster Risks	+		

3. Community Health, Safety and Security	
4. Cultural Heritage	
5. Displacement and Resettlement	
6. Indigenous Peoples	
7. Labour and Working Conditions	
8. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	

Final Sign Off Final Screening at the design-stage is not complete until the following signatures are included

Signature	1	Date	Description
QA Assessor	01-\$e Alla Tynkerych	ep-2021	UNDP staff member responsible for the project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer. Final signature confirms they have "checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Approver	01-se Manal Fouani	ep-2021	UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD), Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.
PAC Chair	01-se Manal Fouani	ep-2021	UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.

SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Cher	cklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks	
INSTE Temp risk c	<u>RUCTIONS</u> : The risk screening checklist will assist in answering Questions 2-6 of the Screening late. Answers to the checklist questions help to (1) identify potential risks, (2) determine the overall ategorization of the project, and (3) determine required level of assessment and management ures. Refer to the <u>SES toolkit</u> for further guidance on addressing screening questions.	
Overa	rching Principle: Leave No One Behind	Answer (Yes/No)
Huma	In Rights	
P.1	Have local communities or individuals raised human rights concerns regarding the project (e.g. during the stakeholder engagement process, grievance processes, public statements)?	No
P.2	Is there a risk that duty-bearers (e.g. government agencies) do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the project?	No
P.3	Is there a risk that rights-holders (e.g. project-affected persons) do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to:	
P.4	adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
P.5	inequitable or discriminatory impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups, including persons with disabilities? ¹	No
P.6	restrictions in availability, quality of and/or access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups, including persons with disabilities?	No
P.7	exacerbation of conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
Gend	er Equality and Women's Empowerment	
P.8	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the project, (e.g. during the stakeholder engagement process, grievance processes, public statements)?	No
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to:	
P.9	adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
P.10	reproducing discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
P.11	limitations on women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being	No
P.12	exacerbation of risks of gender-based violence?	No
	For example, through the influx of workers to a community, changes in community and household power dynamics, increased exposure to unsafe public places and/or transport, etc.	
	inability and Resilience: Screening questions regarding risks associated with sustainability and nce are encompassed by the Standard-specific questions below	

¹ Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, sex, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender and transsexual people.

Acco	untability	
Would	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
P.13	exclusion of any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups and excluded individuals (including persons with disabilities), from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
P.14	grievances or objections from potentially affected stakeholders?	No
P.15	risks of retaliation or reprisals against stakeholders who express concerns or grievances, or who seek to participate in or to obtain information on the project?	No
Proje	ct-Level Standards	
Stanc	lard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
Would	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
1.1	adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	No
1.2	activities within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including (but not limited to) legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	risks to endangered species (e.g. reduction, encroachment on habitat)?	No
1.5	exacerbation of illegal wildlife trade?	No
1.6	introduction of invasive alien species?	No
1.7	adverse impacts on soils?	No
1.8	harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.9	significant agricultural production?	No
1.10	animal husbandry or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.11	significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water? For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	No
1.12	handling or utilization of genetically modified organisms/living modified organisms?2	No
1.13	utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development) ³	No
1.14	adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
Stanc	lard 2: Climate Change and Disaster Risks	
Would	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
2.1	areas subject to hazards such as earthquakes, floods, landslides, severe winds, storm surges, tsunami or volcanic eruptions?	No
2.2	outputs and outcomes sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change or disasters?	Yes

 ² See the <u>Convention on Biological Diversity</u> and its <u>Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety</u>.
 ³ See the <u>Convention on Biological Diversity</u> and its <u>Nagoya Protocol</u> on access and benefit sharing from use of genetic resources.

	For example, through increased precipitation, drought, temperature, salinity, extreme events, earthquakes	
2.3	increases in vulnerability to climate change impacts or disaster risks now or in the future (also known as maladaptive or negative coping practices)? For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	No
2.4	increases of greenhouse gas emissions, black carbon emissions or other drivers of climate change?	No
Stan	dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Security	
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
3.1	construction and/or infrastructure development (e.g. roads, buildings, dams)? (Note: the GEF does not finance projects that would involve the construction or rehabilitation of large or complex dams)	No
3.2	air pollution, noise, vibration, traffic, injuries, physical hazards, poor surface water quality due to runoff, erosion, sanitation?	No
3.3	harm or losses due to failure of structural elements of the project (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)?	No
3.4	risks of water-borne or other vector-borne diseases (e.g. temporary breeding habitats), communicable and noncommunicable diseases, nutritional disorders, mental health?	No
3.5	transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
3.6	adverse impacts on ecosystems and ecosystem services relevant to communities' health (e.g. food, surface water purification, natural buffers from flooding)?	No
3.7	influx of project workers to project areas?	No
3.8	engagement of security personnel to protect facilities and property or to support project activities?	No
Stan	dard 4: Cultural Heritage	
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
4.1	activities adjacent to or within a Cultural Heritage site?	No
4.2	significant excavations, demolitions, movement of earth, flooding or other environmental changes?	No
4.3	adverse impacts to sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.4	alterations to landscapes and natural features with cultural significance?	No
4.5	utilization of tangible and/or intangible forms (e.g. practices, traditional knowledge) of Cultural Heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Stan	dard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
5.1	temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement (including people without legally recognizable claims to land)?	No
5.2	economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No

5.3	risk of forced evictions?4	
5.4	impacts on or changes to land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Stand	ard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to:	
6.1	areas where indigenous peoples are present (including project area of influence)?	No
6.2	activities located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	impacts (positive or negative) to the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?	No
	If the answer to screening question 6.3 is "yes", then the potential risk impacts are considered significant and the project would be categorized as either Substantial Risk or High Risk	
6.4	the absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources? Consider, and where appropriate ensure, consistency with the answers under Standard 5 above	No
6.7	adverse impacts on the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	risks to the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	impacts on the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
	Consider, and where appropriate ensure, consistency with the answers under Standard 4 above.	
Stand	ard 7: Labour and Working Conditions	
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to: (note: applies to project and contractor workers)	
7.1	working conditions that do not meet national labour laws and international commitments?	No
7.2	working conditions that may deny freedom of association and collective bargaining?	No
7.3	use of child labour?	No
7.4	use of forced labour?	No
7.5	discriminatory working conditions and/or lack of equal opportunity?	No
7.6	occupational health and safety risks due to physical, chemical, biological and psychosocial hazards (including violence and harassment) throughout the project life-cycle?	No
Stand	ard 8: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to:	

⁴ Forced eviction is defined here as the permanent or temporary removal against their will of individuals, families or communities from the homes and/or land which they occupy, without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protection. Forced evictions constitute gross violations of a range of internationally recognized human rights.

8.1	the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
8.2	the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
8.3	the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous materials and/or chemicals?	No
8.4	the use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the <u>Montreal Protocol</u> , <u>Minamata Convention</u> , <u>Basel Convention</u> , <u>Rotterdam Convention</u> , <u>Stockholm</u> <u>Convention</u>	No
8.5	the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
8.6	significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No